

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/505,281	01/14/2005	Dolly Nicholas	4297-3	5550		
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/07/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER				
901 NORTH GI	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	HRUSKOCI, PETER A				
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
•		1724				
						
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	NTHS	03/07/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
			81	NICHOLAS, DOLLY				
Office Action Summary		Examine	<u> </u>	Art Unit				
		Peter A. I	łruskoci	1724				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica			correspondence address	••			
Period fo	• •							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TI 67 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev cation. ory period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent. however, may a reply be tin rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from slication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>14 January</i> 200	95 .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex par</i> te Qu	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the app	lication						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[🗓 :	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	pe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is requi	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	<u>?</u> .			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for \square All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have bee	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority docum	ents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the Internationa	•	* **					
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cert	ified copies not receive	ed.				
•				•				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/505,281

Art Unit: 1724

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 7 after line 31 a description of process components 220, 222, 224, and 226 should be included, as shown in Fig. 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is submitted that claims 1 and 15 recite the term "oxidizing/reducing agent", and the instant specification fails to include a description of specific oxidizing/reducing agents that could be utilized in the instant method. It is suggested that applicant provide evidence of which chemicals are used to form the "HLC2003, HPC2003, HGC2003, and HSC2003" compositions disclosed on page 8 of the instant specification, and include these chemicals in the specification and claims.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 1 and 15 "oxidizing/reducing", and in claim 7 and 11 "enhanced API gravity" and "significant" are vague and indefinite because it is unclear how these terms further limit the claims. In claim 10 "the oil molecules" and in claim 15 "the dissolved solids" lack clear antecedent basis. Claims 2-9, and 16-20 depend from the above claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1724

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vitalis et al. 3,756,959 in view of Fullinwider et al. 4,014,801. Vitalis et al. disclose (see col. 3 line 44 through col. 7 line 65, and Example 1) a method for treating an emulsion or waste stream containing suspended solids and soluble salts substantially as claimed. The claims differ from Vitalis et al. by reciting the injection of an oxidizing/reducing agent into the emulsion or effluent. Fullinwider et al. disclose (see col. 1 line 65 through col. 3 line 56) that it is known in the art to add oxidizing or reduce agents to aid in separating aqueous and hydrocarbon phases in an emulsion including oil, polyacrylamide, and demulsifying agent. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of Vitalis et al. by injecting the recited oxidizing/reducing agent in view of the teachings of Fullinwider et al., to aid in separating an aqueous phase or a clean effluent. With regard to claims 5 and 8, it is submitted that the surfactants utilized in Vitalis et al. appear to include the recited solubilizer, and hydrophobic and hydrophilic chemicals. The specific testing utilized, addition of the oxidizing/reducing agent to the aqueous phase to further form a clean effluent, and the specific clean effluent or water produced, would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the specific emulsion or effluent treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,281 . Page 4

Art Unit: 1724

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (571) 272-1160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Peter A. Hruskoci Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

3/1/07